Case 2:16-cv-04813-MMB Document 1 Filed 09/07/16 Page 1 04/18/3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d	the information sortished in t. This form, approved by ocket sheet. (SE MASTIC)	erein seither replace nor e Judi ial Conference of ONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	supplent the Unit	nent the filing and servic ted States in September 1 RM.)	e of pleadings 1974, is requir	s or other papers a red for the use of t	is required by I the Clerk of Co	aw, except as ourt for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Waste Connections US, 3 Waterway Square Plac The Woodlands, Texas (b) County of Residence of	e, Suite 110 77380	oration ontgomery County,	TX	DEFENDANTS Waste Connection 101 Steeplewood Exton, PA 19341 County of Residence	is, Inc., a P Drive	·	Corporation	
·	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)		THE TRACT		AINTIFF CASES OF	NKY)	
Stradley Ronon Stevens 2005 Market Street, Suit Philadelphia, PA 19103				Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION Place an "X" in On	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	iot a Party)			FF DEF	Incorporated or Print of Business In Th		PTF DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	o of Parties in Item III)				Incorporated and Proof Business In A		3 5 7 5
				reign Country				<u> </u>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only		FC	REEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	- 62. - 69/ - 71(- 72(- 74(- 75) - 79(Description of Property 21 USC 881 of Property 21 USC 881 of Other LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act Control Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Control Labor Litigation Control Labor Labor Litigation Control Labor Labor Litigation Control Labor	422 Appea 423 Withd	Il 28 USC 158 rawal GC 157 TY RIGHTS ights mark SECURITY I 395ff) Lung (923) //DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 05(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	□ 375 False Cl □ 376 Qui Tan 3729(a) □ 400 State Re □ 410 Antitrus □ 430 Banks a □ 450 Comme □ 460 Deporta □ 470 Rackete Corrupt □ 480 Consum □ 490 Cable/S □ 850 Securiti Exchan □ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedon Act □ 896 Arbitrat □ 899 Adminis Act/Rev	laims Act in (31 USC i) capportionment if ind Banking ree ition cre lifluenced and Organizations are Credit at TV es/Commodities/ ge tatutory Actions tural Acts mental Matters in of Information ion strative Procedure iew or Appeal of Decision itionality of
M 1 Original □ 2 Rer Proceeding Sta	noved from 3 R te Court A	appellate Court	Reop	stated or 5 Transfe ened Anothe (specify) to not cite jurisdictional state n 1338(a)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistric Litigation - Transfer		Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Differ description of cau	se: ent action for trade:	mark in	fringement				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		S A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		IECK YES only it	f demanded in Yes	omplaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	UDGE			DOCKET	NUMBER	SEP - 7	2016
PATE 9/1/6 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	(SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY O	F RECORD				
	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE	

Case 2:16-cv-04813 UNIVED STOKED SHOW SHOW STREET COURT/16 Page 2 of 11 4 9 1 3

FOR THE EAST TO DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to are calendar.

assignment to attain the catendar.					
Address of Plaintiff: 3 Waterway Square Place, Suite 110, The Woodlands, Tex	xas, 77380				
Address of Defendant: 101 Steeplewood Drive, Exton, PA 19341					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Downingtown, PA					
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	a)) Yes ✓ No				
The state of the s	Ver No. /				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes No ✓				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
,					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes No ✓				
action in this court?	sale pending of within one year previously terminated				
	Yes No ✓				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	_				
terminated action in this court?	Yes No ✓				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	hts case filed by the same individual?				
4. Is this ease a second of successive nabeas corpus, social security appear, or pro-second rig.	Yes No ✓				
	760 1100				
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 				
2. FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury				
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation				
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury				
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)				
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability				
C	8. Products Liability — Asbestos				
8. Habeas Corpus	·				
9/. Securities Act(s) Cases	•				
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)				
1 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Trademark					
(Todas specify) Tradefidite					
ARBITRATION CERT	ΓΙΓΙCATION				
(Check Appropriate C	U */				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	-				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;					
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
DATE: 09/07/2016 Pheole M. Lill	320470				
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above.					
Mind on Mill	320470 SEP - 7 2016				
DATE: 09/07/2016	Attorney I.D.#				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

CIV. 609 (5/2012)



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

484-323-1352	610-640-1965	NGill@STRADLEY.Co	OM ———	
		MCHACTD ADI EV C		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
09/07/2016	Nicole M. Gill	Waste Connections US, In	c., a DE c	
(f) Standard Managem	ent – Cases that do not fall into any	y one of the other tracks.		
commonly referred	nt – Cases that do not fall into track to as complex and that need special erse side of this form for a detailed	al or intense management by	()	
(d) Asbestos – Cases ir exposure to asbesto	avolving claims for personal injury s.	or property damage from	()	
(c) Arbitration – Cases	required to be designated for arbit	ration under Local Civil Rule 53	3.2. ()	
(b) Social Security – C and Human Service	ases requesting review of a decisions denying plaintiff Social Security	n of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	()	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
SELECT ONE OF TH	IE FOLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defend the plaintiff and all other	Civil Justice Expense and Delay a Case Management Track Design I serve a copy on all defendants. (See the event that a defendant does not dant shall, with its first appearance, are parties, a Case Management Tract believes the case should be assign	nation Form in all civil cases at the § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the agree with the plaintiff regard, submit to the clerk of court and ck Designation Form specifying	he time of he reverse rding said I serve on	
te Connections, Inc., a P	ennsylvania corporation :	NO. 2 6		
v	· :	9 B	481	
te Connections, US Inc.,	a Dalasyara cornoration '	CIVIL ACTION	•	

(Civ. 660) 10/02





, 76

4813

WASTE CONNECTIONS US, INC., a Delaware corporation, 3 Waterway Square Place, Suite 110 The Woodlands, Texas, 77380

Plaintiff,

v.

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC., a Pennsylvania corporation, 101 Steeplewood Drive Exton, PA 19341

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY

JUDGMENT _

No.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Waste Connections US, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), for its Complaint against defendant Waste Connections, Inc. ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times was, a Delaware corporation, duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located in The Woodlands, Texas.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant is a Pennsylvania corporation, which was at least at one time doing business in Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business in Exton, Pennsylvania.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for declaratory judgment arising under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. sections 2201-02. This court has federal question jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1331 and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1338(a).

4. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. section 1391(b) because a substantial number of the events, acts, or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged in this complaint occurred within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

- Plaintiff is an industry-leading company that provides waste and recycling services to millions of customers nationwide.
 - 6. Plaintiff was founded in 1997 and went public in 1998.
- 7. Plaintiff has registered several trademarks, including the trademark WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. ("WC Mark") (incontestable Registration No. 3,714,422) with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") (attached hereto as Exhibit "A"). The WC Mark is registered in International Class 037 for use in connection with "Waste collection and disposal services for others," International Class 039 for use in connection with "Waste transfer service for others," and International Class 040 for use in connection with "Recycling." Plaintiff has been using the WC Mark continuously since 1998. The value of the goodwill that Plaintiff has accrued in the WC Mark is substantial.
- 8. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has extensively used the WC Mark to identify its services and to distinguish itself from services offered by others to the general public and to its two million plus residential, commercial and industrial customers across the United States. Plaintiff has invested substantial time and money in promoting the WC Mark in connection with the marketing and sale of services by, among other things, prominently displaying the WC Mark on its websites, signage, vehicles, advertising, displays, marketing and promotional materials, telephone directory advertising, and periodicals distributed throughout the United States.

- 9. As a result of Plaintiff's efforts, the WC Mark is a famous mark. The WC Mark is a valuable asset of Plaintiff, symbolizing its business, services, and goodwill. Plaintiff's continued success depends, in part, on continued national name recognition for the provision of quality services.
- 10. As of December 31, 2015, Plaintiff and its subsidiaries served the waste hauling needs of residential, commercial, industrial and exploration and production customers in the following 32 states: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington and Wyoming.
- 11. On June 1, 2016 Plaintiff merged with Progressive Waste Solutions Ltd., an Ontario corporation ("Progressive Waste"), and as a result of the merger, Plaintiff became a subsidiary of Progressive Waste, and Progressive Waste changed its name to Waste Connections, Inc. (the "Parent Company"). The Parent Company, through subsidiaries, operates waste hauling operations and landfill facilities within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff has entered into a license agreement with the Parent Company for the use of the WC Mark by the Parent Company and its subsidiaries, including the subsidiaries operating the waste hauling operations and landfill facilities within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
 - 12. Plaintiff recently became aware of the existence of Defendant.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant was incorporated in Pennsylvania in 1997 and provided, at one time, a broker service for trucking, demolition services, and project site clean-up in. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant's

business was limited to the borough of Downingtown, Pennsylvania.

- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant at some point in time before 2013, ceased operating as a going concern without the intent of resuming business operations, thus creating a rebuttable presumption of abandonment of any rights Defendant may have in the WASTE CONNECTIONS mark under 15 U.S.C. section 1127.
- 15. Employees for Plaintiff contacted Craigg Cody, who is listed on the Pennsylvania Secretary of State database as the president of Defendant. Despite Plaintiff's understanding that Defendant has not been a going concern for numerous years, Mr. Cody contends that Defendant is an operating business using the mark WASTE CONNECTIONS.

<u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Declaratory Judgment – Abandonment of Trademark)

- 16. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 15 above as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the Defendant long ago ceased operating under the mark WASTE CONNECTIONS and thus abandoned whatever rights it may have had in WASTE CONNECTIONS. The Defendant's assertion that it is an operating concern that does business using the mark WASTE CONNECTIONS places Plaintiff in the position of either potentially infringing the Defendant's rights in the WASTE CONNECTIONS mark or abandoning its plans to allow the use of its federally registered WC Mark in connection with its licensee's business operations within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 18. Plaintiff desires a judicial determination and judgment that Defendant has abandoned whatever rights it may have in the mark WASTE CONNECTIONS. Such declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time so that Plaintiff may ascertain its rights with respect to

its licensee's use of the WC Mark within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Judgment – No Likelihood of Confusion)

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 18 above as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant. Plaintiff is informed that Defendant, at some point in the past, used the mark WASTE CONNECTIONS in connection with a broker service for trucking, demolition services, and project site clean-up. If Defendant is able to establish that it has not abandoned its rights in WASTE CONNECTIONS, Plaintiff believes and alleges that the services covered by Defendant are not sufficiently related to the services provided by Plaintiff such that there would be a likelihood of confusion between Defendant's mark and Plaintiff's WC Mark. Plaintiff is placed in the position of either potentially infringing the Defendant's rights in the WASTE CONNECTIONS mark or abandoning its plans to allow the use of its federally registered WC Mark in connection with its licensee's business operations within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 21. Plaintiff desires a judicial determination and declaration that even if
 Defendant has not abandoned its rights in connection with the WASTE CONNECTIONS mark,
 the use by Plaintiff's licensee of the WC Mark in Pennsylvania is not likely to cause confusion
 with Defendant's mark and does not infringe on any trademark rights of Defendant, or otherwise
 violate any of Defendant's rights of trade. Such declaration is necessary and appropriate at this
 time so that Plaintiff may ascertain its rights with respect to its use of the WC Mark within the
 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff has no other existing, speedy, adequate or proper
 remedy other than a declaration and determination of the parties' rights as prayed herein.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Judgment – Concurrent Use)

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 21 above as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant. Plaintiff is informed that Defendant, at some point in the past, used the mark WASTE CONNECTIONS in connection with a broker service for trucking, demolition services, and project site clean-up and that such use was limited to the borough of Downingtown, Pennsylvania. If Defendant is able to establish that it has not abandoned its common law rights in WASTE CONNECTIONS, Plaintiff believes and alleges that Defendant's common law rights in WASTE CONNECTIONS are limited to the borough of Downingtown, Pennsylvania, and that Plaintiff would be entitled to concurrent use of the WC Mark for the rest of Pennsylvania and all other states. Plaintiff is placed in the position of either potentially infringing the Defendant's rights in the WASTE CONNECTIONS mark or abandoning its plans to allow the use of its federally registered WC Mark in connection with its licensee's business operations within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 24. Plaintiff desires a judicial determination and declaration that if Defendant has not abandoned its common law rights in the WASTE CONNECTIONS mark, Defendant's common law rights are limited to the borough of Downingtown, Pennsylvania, and Plaintiff is entitled to concurrent use of the WC Mark for the rest of Pennsylvania and all other states. Such declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time so that Plaintiff may ascertain its rights with respect to the use by Plaintiff's licensee of the WC Mark within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff has no other existing, speedy, adequate or proper remedy other than a declaration and determination of the parties' rights as prayed herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Waste Connections US, Inc. requests this Court, pursuant to its authority under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, enter a judgment declaring as follows:

- a) that Defendant has abandoned the mark WASTE CONNECTIONS;
- b) that the use of the WC Mark by Plaintiff or its licensee is not likely to cause confusion with, infringe or otherwise violate any of Defendant's rights, if any, in the mark WASTE CONNECTIONS;
- c) that Plaintiff is, at law, entitled to concurrent use of the WC Mark throughout Pennsylvania and other states, except in the borough of Downingtown, Pennsylvania;
- d) that this is an exceptional case and awarding Plaintiff its full costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117; and
 - e) for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 7, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin R. Casey, Esq.

Nicole M. Gill, Esq.

STRADLEY RONON STEVENS & YOUNG,

LLP

2600 One Commerce Square Philadelphia, PA 19103-7098

(215) 564-8000-Phone

Attorneys for Plaintiff Waste Connections US, Inc., a Delaware corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WASTE CONNECTIONS US, INC.,

: CIVIL ACTION

a Delaware corporation,

No.

Plaintiff,

v.

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC., a Pennsylvania corporation,

Defendant.

VERIFICATION

I, Aaron Rubin, verify that the facts set forth in the foregoing Complaint for Declaratory Judgment filed by Waste Connections US, Inc., a Delaware corporation, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that the statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. am. Rei

Dated: September 6, 2016